

University of Surrey HR Excellence in Research 2018-2020 Action Plan

Introduction

The University of Surrey is a research-intensive institution with twenty-two research active departments/schools/centres within three faculties: Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences (FEPS), Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences (FHMS) and Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences (FASS). Surrey has undergone transformational change in the last two years, with the appointment of a new Vice-Chancellor, Professor Max Lu. Along with his appointment has been an increased emphasis on research and on researcher support, outlined in a new Research Strategy.

Embodied in the new Research Strategy is the vision for the newly launched Doctoral College (https://www.surrey.ac.uk/doctoral-college) tasked with supporting newer researchers, both postgraduate researchers (PGRs) and early career researchers (ECRs), and leading the HR Excellence in Research (HREiR) process for the University. The HR Excellence in Research Working Group (HREiR WG) and Doctoral College management team have worked closely with senior management throughout this period of change to align the University's strategy for supporting ECRs with the Principles of the Concordat and to embed the HREiR process within the University's re-developed research support structure.

In consultation with ECRs and other stakeholders, the Doctoral College has formally defined the term ECR very broadly, encompassing all research and teaching-research staff within 10 years of their doctorate, as well as allowing other people to self-identify as ECRs, due to career changes/breaks or a desire to be actively involved in research, despite contracts that do not reflect this activity. With this definition, University of Surrey has approximately 450-500 early career researchers (ECRs), spanning a wide range of disciplines and contract types including fixed-term (FT) research only (linked to specific grant funding), open-ended (OE) research-only, probationary research-teaching, and primary teaching (FT and OE). Of these the largest group are FT research only contracts (~300), followed by probationary lecturers (~130), teaching fellows wishing to remain research active (~45) and ~65 who have self-identified as ECRs but do not fit any of these categories. This HREiR action plans is intended to inclusively support this varied population of ECRs. All HREiR documentation is available on the Doctoral College Website: https://www.surrey.ac.uk/doctoral-college/hr-excellence.

Acronyms			
ECR- Early Career Researcher (defined inclusively as stated above)	DC- Doctoral College		
HREiR- HR Excellence in Research	URIC- University Research and Innovation Committee		
HREIR WG- HR Excellence in Research Working Group	RDP- Researcher Development Programme		
SuRSA-Surrey Research Staff Association	CROS- Careers in Research Online Survey		
FEPS- Faculty of Engineering and Physical Science	FT- Fixed term		
FHMS- Faculty of Health and Medical Science	OE- Open ended		
FASS- Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences	CSC-Concordat Steering Committee		



2018 Action Reference number (related 2016 action)	Action	Success Measures	Update on Progress	Progress & link to new actions if applicable
2018_1 (new)	Embed the use of a recruitment checklist: Consistently include our commitment to Athena SWAN, the Race Equality Charter Mark, Stonewall and Disability Confident in all adverts and recruitment packs Actively promote flexible working/job shares unless there is a critical business need preventing this commitment Ensure adverts and supporting materials are checked for unconscious bias, gender-neutral language etc. Place adverts in a wider variety of locations, e.g. WISE and WES being defaults for recruitment into science and engineering Introduce target numbers for applications/shortlists for academic posts from under-represented	Faculty targets (embedded in Athena Swan documentation) are met and the gender gap in academic populations begins to close.	Our commitment to the various EDI initiatives is displayed on all our job adverts. The University now has an Inclusive Language Policy which helps with this. Gender balance is actively considered at short-listing stage. Recruitment checklist is in use. Departmental Athena Swan initiatives tracking local data on impact on applications, particularly in areas with disproportionate representation. Some departments have been more successful than others at decreasing the gender gap. Panels are required to have a gender balance or give an explicit reason why this is not possible. For departments with very low female numbers, HR personnel can be on the interview panels to provide greater gender balance.	Mostly Achieved



	groups (e.g. women in engineering, men in healthcare): • Establish appropriate targets taking into account current population and benchmark data • Require selection panels to show that they have reflected on the gender balance of applicants before shortlisting and to seek further applications if necessary • Actively consider gender balance at short-listing stage. If a single sex shortlist results, re-examine the gap between that group and the best candidate of the opposite sex with a view to inviting to interview if the gap is small Evaluate the viability of anonymous long-listing for academic positions			
2018_2 (new)	Clarify expectations and share best practice for ECR appraisal process by creating a guide for both appraisers and appraisees.	Trial guide within a subset of specific departments and gain feedback. Initial target of at least 30% of responders indicating usefulness of resource.	Small trial completed in 2018. Data not conclusive and requires a bigger piece of work. CROS scores of usefulness of appraisal only 69%.	Not Achieved See Action 2020_2, New Action Plan



		I			1
		Adjusted guide available to			
		all ECRs, with impact			
		evaluated over the next two			
		years. We will aim to have a			
		10% increase in positive			
		responses year on year.			
		Note that we will be			
		analysing data in context of			
		new system appraisal			
		system.			
		-,			
		Increase CROS score on			
		overall usefulness of			
		appraisal from 68% to 75%			
2018_3	Share best practice and enhance the	Statement created and	1	Statement about ECR importance	Mostly Achieved
(new)	University's research community and	approved by RIC.	1.	to research community is	Wostry Acmeved
(iiew)	culture, inclusive of all researcher	approved by Ric.		embedded at the highest level in	
	·	2 Annually participate in		G	Linkad to 2020 4
	stages.	Annually participate in International Postdoc		the University's new Research and	Linked to 2020_4
	To do so Doctoral College To do so Doctoral College		,	Innovation Strategy.	
	will create an official	Appreciation Week	۷.	Research Staff Celebrated	
	statement of Surrey's	(PAW) and collect		(previously PAW) completed in	
	research community and	feedback on impact. Data		academic year 18-19, however,	
	culture, to be approved by	collected via electronic		participation rates were low and	
	University Research and	anonymous survey		feedback indicated that this was	
	Innovation Committee	following the 2017 PAW		not necessarily the best way to	
	(URIC).	indicated that 26%		demonstrate researcher value and	
	We will participate in	respondents felt that		recognition. Working with ECR	
	International Postdoc	PAW achieved its aim to		forum to identify better ways to	
	Appreciation Week	raise the visibility and		institutionally recognise the	
	annually, highlighting best	highlight the valuable		contributions of ECRs in 2019-20.	
	practice across the	contributions of ECRs in	3.	Doctoral College Building	
		the university; while 48%		Researcher Communities	



- University and delivering special events for ECRs.
- 3. Doctoral College will also hold annual competitions for funding to support new initiatives to build departmental or interdisciplinary research culture. These bids will be open to any researcher, including ECRs. Funding will only be provided to initiatives that support newer researchers, PGRs and ECRs.
- felt this was partly achieved. Next year we will aim to increase the percentage of those who felt that aims were achieved from 26% to 40%; and those who felt it partly achieved its aims from 48% to 60%.
- 3. Funding competitions delivered with ECRs participating in the calls and in the initiatives themselves and track engagement through trend data on submissions involving ECRS and awards made to ECRs. Aim for at least five submissions to each funding call resulting in at least one ECR funded project a year. Impact of receiving funding will be captured in case studies, which will be shared to encourage greater ECR participation year on year. Faculty and University recognition of ECR award winners.

- Competition was held and ECRs were among the winning bids. With an ECR on 76% of winning bids (total of 21 funded ECR bids).
- 4. CROS scores for integration into departmental community rose to 78%. However, integration into the Institution community has not improved; new action on induction has been created to address this issue.



		4. Overall success measure: Improved CROS scores for feeling integrated into departmental community more generally (currently 70%), aim for 75% in CROS 2019. As well as CROS score for feeling more integrated into the institution's community (currently 57%), aim 65%.		
2018_4 (linked to 2016_4)	Ensure that there is an infrastructure facilitating ECR representation from department level through to faculty level linking into the newly restructured high-level research committees, which now have a clear line of reporting for ECR issues. Investigate other University Committees that ECRs would benefit from involvement in.	ECR representation within each department. Currently 15 of 22 departments are represented. Target is all 22 have representation. An academic 'champion' in each department. This is a new initiative, so we would need to identify 22 champions.	Now 32 reps. Representing all departments/schools/centres with larger departments having 2 reps. Reps infrastructure now well embedded within University wide committees. Chair of ECR forum sits on University Research and Innovation Committee. The Chair and deputy chairs all sit on the HREiR working group. https://www.surrey.ac.uk/researcherdevelopment-programme/researchercommunity/ecr-reps ECR champions identified, primarily PGR directors taking on additional responsibility for ECRs. Monitoring how this works.	Achieved See New Actions 2020_15, 2020_16 ensuring this consultation and ECR feedback is maintained.



2018_5	Support recognition and value of	Best PI award in place and	This action has been delayed and	Not Achieved
	best practice in managing ECRs by	year-on-year growth in	there is a University re-think on such	
(linked to	introducing university level awards	nominations made by ECR	awards due to the exclusive nature.	See New Action 2020_3,
2016_6)	for best practice in line-managing	members of research teams.	Moving forward we will seek to find a	which will look at the
	researchers with nominations from	Target of at least five	mechanism to recognise and reward a	issue of recognition and
	the researcher community.	nominations in the first year,	broad range of best practice in	value in a more
		with each faculty	supporting research staff.	systematic way in line
		represented.		with expectation of the
				2019 Concordat.
2018_6	Continue to develop professional	Participant feedback	We have offered a wide range of	Mostly achieved
	development training and support	indicating increased	professional development training and	
(linked to	for ECRs; including the Researcher	understanding/knowledge of	support, informed by ECR forum,	Linked to new actions
2016_5 and	Routes programme, short mini	the content area as well as	CROS results and focus groups:	2020_11
2016_10)	development session. All incoming	progress against individually	https://www.surrey.ac.uk/doctoral-	
	ECRs will be invited to a one-to-one	defined aims (where	college/early-career-researchers.	
	coaching session to introduce them	appropriate).		
	to this offering and provide and		Feedback from sessions, from ECR	
	individualised professional	Baseline numbers and	forum members and focus groups	
	development action planning	percentage of invited ECRs	about the content and quality of the	
	session.	engaging will be collected for	programme is overwhelmingly	
		introductory ECR one to one	positive (>75% positive).	
		meetings. Target of 50% of		
		those invited attending a	There has been a doubling in our	
		coaching session.	offering and in ECR attendance over	
		_	this two-year period. 63 ECR coaching	
		To assess impact of sessions	one-to-ones have been delivered,	
		a short follow up survey will	which is currently 30% of those	
		be administered. Target	invited. However, there are	
		60% positive feedback about	inaccuracies of the list in identifying	
		session, 40% taking specific	ECRs. Often there are at least 10%	
		action based on the session.	who do not consider themselves to be	
			ECRs on the lists provided. Action	
			2020_11 to improve HR identification	



			of ECRs and to better record data on their professional development.	
2018_7	Continue to develop Careers support for ECRs including:	Complete development and deployment of	Restructure of Doctoral College has integrated careers, employability and	Achieved
(linked to 2016_10 &2016_12)	 Increased relevant online content accessed via the Doctoral College's virtual learning environment and/or the Employability and Careers Service web pages, Expansion of bespoke resources / workshop / seminar provision delivered under the Careers Smart framework (including investigating best methods to acquire and share various career stories that are relevant, broadranging and inspiring for researchers) One-to-one specialist career consultation sessions 	CareerSmart toolkit within the VLE, establish baseline usage numbers. Create of online "pinboard" area where relevant opportunities are easily accessible for ECRs (and PGRs), establish baseline usage numbers. Target 10% ECRs using online resource in first year after development. Increase engagement of ECRs with careers provision by 10%. ECR feedback collected about careers support provision to inform updates and new developments. Target 60% positive feedback.	employer engagement for researchers within the researcher development provision: https://www.surrey.ac.uk/doctoral-college/about-us/careers-employability. The University has invested in increased FTE dedicated to researcher career support provision from 1.0 FTE to 2.5 FTE (all posts filled as of Dec 2019). The offer includes one-to-one career coaching, interview practice sessions, CV checking, online resources, workshops, bespoke sessions, networking events and employability skills development opportunities. In the most recent CROS there was a 7% increase in the Career Development score. The Doctoral College undertook an Early Careers Research Staff Careers Survey in Autumn 2018. It is a	Linked to Action 2020_7



			1
	CROS target: 10% increase	relatively small sample (~10%).	
	reported engagement with	However, data from it has been	
	career management.	supplemented with a further focus	
		group. 39% had engaged in the	
		Careers provision, with >70% positive	
		feedback.	
		95 ECRs have engaged in careers	
		support provision over the last two	
		years.	
		Follow-up feedback on the impact of	
		this careers provision was highly	
		positive.	
		For example: 'Just got done with my	
		RAEng app and I was poring through	
		all the feedback you had given me in	
		May and June. They were absolutely	
		spot on and I would not have been	
		able to clear the internal review and	
		improve the final proposal without	
		your help. Thank you so so so much!'	
		'I was successful at interview! Many	
		thanks for your support leading up to	
		the interview. It really did help me	
		with tackling the questions at	
		interview even when I'm not sure I	
		knew the answer.'	
<u>_</u>		1	



		I	T.,,	Ι
2018_8	Continue to expand engagement of	All ECRs offered mentoring	All researchers have the opportunity	Achieved
(linked to	ECRs with mentoring programmes;	and the opportunity to be a	to be paired with either a Surrey	
2016_10 and	including the ECR mentoring	mentor during introductory	researcher/academic, a Surrey	
2016_12)	programme, the employer	one-to-one coaching	professional service staff member	
	mentoring programme and first	sessions (see 20118_6).	and/or someone from a different	
	funding mentoring. Also encourage		sector (utilising our doctoral alumni	
	ECRs to act as mentors for more	A 10% increase in uptake of	and local/national business	
	junior colleagues &/or PGRs.	mentoring opportunities	connections). 93 ECR mentees have	
		year on year.	been paired with mentors. See HREiR	
			case study.	
		Creation of mentoring case		
		studies that demonstrate	2018 was our largest intake of ECRs so	
		impact of mentoring for	far (38% increase), with a slight drop	
		ECRs. These are to be made	off in 2019, primarily due to staff	
		available to all ECRs, to help	turnover. New increased FTE in the	
		share the variety of ways	careers for researchers' provision	
		mentoring can benefit newer	should help to better sustain the	
		researchers.	programme from 2020.	
		Teseuroners:	programme from 2020.	
			Mentoring case studies are being	
			collected and are available on the	
			virtual learning environment.	
			virtual learning environment.	
2018_9	Doctoral College to launch an Alumni	Doctoral College Alumni	Good progress after delay.	Achieved
(linked to	programme for former University of	programme launched.		
2016_10)	Surrey ECRs and PGRs, providing		Launch delayed a year due to	Linked to action 2020_9
	continuing access to Researcher	Establish baseline of ECR	restructure and recruiting, but now is	
	Development, Career support, IT	engagement with	actively recruiting	
	infrastructure and library services	programme. Target initially	https://www.surrey.ac.uk/doctoral-	
	during transition period to next	engage at least 10 ECR	college/alumni.	
	career stages.	alumni and attempt to		



		double numbers in the next year. Evaluate impact of participation in programme on the transition from Surrey to next career stage.	Now in place, led by new Researcher Employability and Engagement Manager. ECR Alumni participated in most recent employer networking event, as contributors and as participants, with very positive feedback from attendees.	
			Too early for full impact analysis.	
2018_10 (linked to 2016_10 and 2016_13)	Formally allocate a specific amount of protected development time for ECRs, in line with previous RCUK recommendations. Create case studies available on Doctoral College VLE giving examples of how this protected time can be utilised in different ways to develop different skills and specialties.	University policy regarding protected development time for ECRs approved by Research and Innovation Committee and on Doctoral College website. Evidence that ECRs are making use of protected time, creating a baseline measure.	10 days protected development time has been approved at the University Research and Innovation Committee and has not yet been implemented. Delay in embedding into HR policy due to major HR restructure, including new HR Director. Will also need to identify ways of capturing usage and impact. Case studies have been and continue to be collected to provide real examples of how researchers can use development time to move their careers forward and are available on the University's VLE.	Partially Achieved Linked to Action 2020_10



2018_11	Deliver at least three	At least 3 events delivered in	We have delivered a range of events,	Achieved
(Linked to	multidisciplinary/intersectoral	2017-18 and 2018-19	including: Doctoral College	
2016_11)	events, which providing ECRs an	academic year.	Conference, other networking events,	
	opportunity to present their		and co-events with Surrey Chambers.	
	research and network with people	Track ECR participation and	We are currently developing more	
	outside of their discipline area.	conduct follow up evaluation	research theme/discipline specific	
		to determine impact of	models with departments which have	
	Furthermore, provide training to	participation in such events.	been delivered throughout 2017-8	
	support collaboration and		and 2018-9.	
	networking skills to support ECRs	Increase CROS score on		
	getting the most out of these events.	participation in training on	We have established a successful	
		collaboration with a CROS	Institute of Directors (IoD) student	
		2019 target of 25%	membership programme, which is	
		engagement (CROS 2017	open to ECRs as well as PGRs. IoD	
		score was 19%).	student membership is aimed at	
			people who are 1) interested in	
			potentially being a director one day, 2)	
			interested in gaining business skills	
			and connections or 3) in the process	
			of starting their own business or	
			product; as opposed to full IoD	
			membership which is targeted at	
			those who are already directors. This	
			means that the student membership	
			level is appropriate for ECRs as well as	
			PGRs. See our <u>case study</u> for further	
			details of this programme.	
			CROS engagement scores for	
			collaboration only showed a 1%	
			increase. However, the CROS scores	
			for participation in Public Engagement	
			activities showed a 15% increase.	



2018_12	Investigate technical solutions to	InkPath completed and	Inkpath trial completed. Very low	Partially Achieved, but
(Linked to	help ECRs better plan and record	feedback collected.	participation rate.	needs re-evaluation
2016_11)	their professional development			
	activities. This links to other actions	Active participation of the	There was a plan for a new HR system,	See action 2020_11
	which encourage ECRs to participate	Doctoral College to inform	which would have potentially filled	
	in a variety of professional and	new HR system development	this need, so the project was delayed.	
	career development activities, as	in line with ECR needs.	However, it is now unclear if this will	
	ECRs have reported that one		go forward or if this will meet the	
	contributing factor to non-	Decision made about best	specific needs of research staff.	
	participation is lack of formal record	way in which to capture ECR	Therefore, this action will have to be	
	and recognition for a wide range of	professional development,	carried over and re-evaluated.	
	developmental activities.	based on ECR feedback.		
	Specifically			
		Feedback will continue to be		
	We will trail InkPath	monitored as ECRs start		
	application with a pool of	using new system.		
	ECRs and collect feedback			
	We will work with University			
	to develop a new HR system			
	to meet ECR needs.			
	Based on the two above			
	actions a strategic decision			
	will be made about a new			
	system to support ECR			
	development.			
	 This will be fully reviewed 			
	six months after launch.			
2018_13	Encourage ECR participation in	Introduce a suite of Public	Training courses have been delivered,	Achieved
(Linked to	Public Engagement through	Engagement training.	which included a high profile positive	
2016_13)	increased public engagement		Public Engagement Day, which	See Action 2020_12
	training offered and introduce	Establish a baseline of ECR	brought together a range of	
	University level awards recognising	involvement in Public	researchers involved in PE, staff from	
	ECR participation in Public	Engagement training and	the University PE office, along with the	



	Engagement with the local community and Public Engagement with Research.	support and evaluate effectiveness. Awards established Increase in ECR participation in Public Engagement activities. 2017 CROS has a 38% desired participation in Public Engagement, 2019 target is 45%.	PE officer from the Wellcome Trust. Feedback was very positive, so that this will now be delivered as an annual event. Some Researcher Communities money has gone to ECRs involved in Public Engagement. We have funded ECRs to be involved in Brilliant Club. We have ECRs involved in Bright Club and Pint of Science as well. https://www.surrey.ac.uk/research/impact/public-engagement CROS scores for participation in PE training and development opportunities increased by 15%. CROS scores increased from 38% to 47% desired participation in public engagement.	
2018_14 (Linked to 2016_14 and 2016_15)	Retain University level Athena Swan award and continue to apply for silver and bronze Athena Swan awards increasing coverage across the university, with future ambitions to apply for gold. Achieve a Bronze Race Equality Charter Mark Award Achieve a Top 100 Stonewall Workplace Index	All Departments holding a Bronze Award, with some targeting higher Awards, by the end of 2020 We will submit for this in July 2018 We will complete our submission in Sept 2018	University Bronze award achieved in April 2017, due for renewal in April 2021 2) Seven departments have Bronze awards, one has Silver. All other departments have started the submission process and will be submitting throughout 2020 and 2021. https://www.surrey.ac.uk/equality-diversity/achievements/athena-swan Race Equality Charter was unsuccessful in 2018 and will be	Partially Achieved



	T	I		
			applying for the RECM again in July	
			2021.	
			The University was placed 258 in the	
			2019 Stonewall Workplace Equality	
			Index. We have submitted our	
			application for the 2020 Index, the	
			result is due in January 2020. Our	
			target is for a place in the 100s and	
			then to move into the top 100 in the	
			2021 Index.	
2018_15	Continue to engage widely with the	Increase in CROS	We have good engagement with	Partially Achieved
(Linked to	ECR community through a wide	participation to greater than	SuRSA and the ECR forum. Both ECR	r ar ciarry refine vea
2016_16)	range of avenues; including CROS,	30% of ECR population.	lead groups are supported to put on	
	the University ECR reps committee,	Solve of Zon population.	events for the ECR community. We	Linked to Action
	focus groups, Surrey Research Staff	HREIR WG engagement with	now have a monthly ECR newsletter	2020_16
	Association (SuRSA), quarterly	SRSA and ECR reps group	sent from the Doctoral College.	2020_10
	newsletters to all ECRs.	meetings.	Sent from the Doctoral Conege.	
	Working through these avenues,	meetings.	Unfortunately, our CROS 2019	
	look to extend our engagement and	Sharing progress with ECR	participation was 24%, which was 1%	
	ensure all ECRs feel they have a	community with specific	lower than CROS 2017. It should be	
	voice.	actions based on ECR	noted that there was a major	
		feedback included in ECR	University wide restructure happening	
		each newsletter.	at the time, so perhaps it is not	
			surprising that we were unable to	
			increase our participation rates this	
			last year. To supplement and extend	
			the information received from CROS	
			we have also engaged with research	
			staff through short surveys and focus	
			groups to gain feedback on specific	
			topics and initiatives.	
	1	i e	1	



2018_16	Updating and review of action plan	Quarterly HREiR meetings.	HREIR working group meetings have	Achieved
(Linked to	in light of ECR feedback at quarterly	Quarterly linelin meetings.	been held and HREIR working group	Acmeved
2016_18)	HREIR WG meeting. Report progress	HREiR report to every	member representative reports into	New Action,
2010_18)	and adjust actions as necessary to	Doctoral College Board.	the Doctoral College Board regularly.	incorporating 2019
	respond to ECR needs. Report and	Doctoral College Board.	The Chair of the Doctoral College	Concordat
	action Doctoral College board to	Doctoral College Board to	Board sits on the University Research	
			•	requirements: 2020_17.
	support ECRs at University Research	provide reports on ECR	and Innovation Committee, to ensure	
	and Innovation Committee.	issues to University Research	ECR issues are addressed there.	
2212 17		and Innovation Committee.		
2018_17	To continue to share best practice	Two conference	We published a book series, Success in	Achieved
(new)	through engagement in national and	presentations on topics	Research, which is aimed at	
	international conferences,	relevant to ECR support.	supporting early career researchers as	Linked to 2020_18.
	workshops and events; as well as		they transition from doctorate to	
	through publication.	At least one publication on a	stable employment. This series	
		topic relevant to ECR	consists of six books each addressing	
		support.	one of the following topics:	
			Publication, Research Funding,	
			Collaboration, Mentoring, Doctoral	
			Supervision and Doctoral Assessment.	
			The University engages regularly with	
			Vitae, with some members of staff	
			attending the annual conference each	
			year (2 presentations, in this HREiR	
			period) as well as participating in	
			events and consultations. To gain a	
			more international perspective, we	
			also engage with EUA-CDE (4	
			presentations), which is increasingly	
			addressing ECR issues as well as PGR	
			issues. We also regularly engage with	
			UKCGE (2 presentations) and SRHE (1	
			presentation).	

