

B4: Regulations for academic appeals

Academic year 2026/27

Contents

Introduction and scope.....	2
Third party requests	2
Students registered with another higher education institution	3
Reasonable adjustments.....	3
Exceptional circumstances	3
Definition of an academic appeal	3
Burden of proof	3
Standard of proof	3
Confidentiality and General Data Protection Regulations	3
Grounds for making an academic appeal.....	4
Circumstances in which the University will not consider an academic appeal	4
Differentiating between an academic appeal and a complaint.....	5
Status of students during an appeal	5
Stage one: review by OSCAR.....	5
Appeal dossier	6
Timeliness.....	6
Outcomes of the review.....	6
Request for a review of the decision to dismiss an appeal	7
Grounds for requesting a review of the decision to dismiss an appeal.....	8
Stage two: Process Review Appeal Panels.....	8
Membership of a Process Review Appeal Panel	8
Attendance of the student at a Process Review Appeal Panel	8
Findings and outcomes of a Process Review Appeal Panel	9
Withdrawal of an appeal.....	9
Follow up to the findings and outcomes of Stage one and Stage two appeals	9

Introduction and scope

1. These *Regulations for academic appeals* apply to the following students:
 - those registered on the Foundation Year and award-bearing programmes delivered by the University
 - those registered with one of the University's Associated and Accredited Institutions to study for an award of the University
 - those registered to study for the award of academic credit delivered by the University
 - those former students provided they do so within the timescale specified in regulation 25 below
2. The University's procedures for addressing academic appeals are carried out in two stages; there is no informal stage.
3. Students who are considering making an academic appeal will find it helpful to seek advice and support from the Surrey Students' Union, or its equivalent for the Associated and Accredited Institutions.
4. The University is committed to providing a fair, consistent and accessible service. The University believes that everyone who interacts with it has the right to be heard, understood and respected. The University believes that its staff have the same rights, and the University must provide a safe working environment for its staff. The University must also ensure the efficient and effective operation of its work, so that it can provide a good service to everyone. The [*Procedure for managing behaviour in respect to Student Regulations and Procedures*](#) applies to everyone who interacts or communicates with the University, including students and their supporters and describes types of actions and behaviour that may interfere with its essential functions (i.e. teaching, learning, research and the administrative functions and resources those things require) and what the University is expected to do in these circumstances.
5. The Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA) runs an independent scheme to review student complaints. The University of Surrey is a member of this scheme. Students, who are unhappy with the outcome may be able to ask the OIA to review their appeal case. Students can find more information about making a complaint to the OIA, what it can and cannot look at and what it can do to put things right here: <https://www.oiahe.org.uk/students>.
6. Normally, students need to follow the *Regulations for academic appeals* before they complain to the OIA. The University of Surrey will send a letter called a "Completion of Procedures Letter" when students have reached the end of the academic appeal process and there are no further steps they can take internally. If a student's appeal is not upheld, the University of Surrey will issue them with a Completion of Procedures Letter automatically. If their appeal is upheld or partly upheld they can ask for a Completion of Procedures Letter if they want one. Students can find more information about Completion of Procedures Letters and when they should expect to receive one here: <https://www.oiahe.org.uk/providers/completion-of-procedures-letters>.

Third party requests

7. Academic appeals and requests to review the decision to dismiss an appeal are made by the student. Exceptionally, and only where a student is unable to do so on their own behalf, whether through illness or other unforeseen circumstances, an application can be made by a third party on behalf of the student. In such cases the third party must show why the student is unable to make the appeal, or request to review the decision to decline an appeal, on their own behalf, and provide supporting evidence. The

student must give their consent before the appeal, or request to review the decision to decline an appeal, can be processed. The evidence is submitted to the Office of Student Complaints, Appeals and Regulation (OSCAR) and an OSCAR Case Manager will determine whether the appeal or request to review the decision to decline an appeal should be accepted. Consultation may take place with other members of staff in complex or unusual cases, and this will be recorded. A decision will normally be made within five University working days of receiving the final submission information.

Students registered with another higher education institution

8. A student studying with the University may be registered for the award of another higher education institution. In any cases of appeal by the student, the University follows the procedures set out in the formal agreement between the parties.

Reasonable adjustments

9. Reasonable adjustments to the processes within these *Regulations*, including the extending of deadlines for student responses, will be made upon the production by the student of relevant third party evidence which demonstrates the need for those adjustments.

Exceptional circumstances

10. In exceptional circumstances it may be appropriate to amend the procedures set out in these *Regulations*, for example, where strict application of the *Regulations* would result in substantial unfairness to a student or a student is in some way at risk because of health or disability. Such cases will be rare and each will be treated on their own merits.

Definition of an academic appeal

11. The University defines an academic appeal as:
'A formal request by a student or students for the review of a decision affecting them that has been made by a University of Surrey academic body, or a body at an Associated or Accredited Institution (AI), with authority for making decisions or advising on student progression, assessment, and academic awards'.

Burden of proof

12. When making an academic appeal and in requesting a review of the decision to dismiss an academic appeal, it is for the student to show that one or more of the grounds in regulation 16 below apply.

Standard of proof

13. The standard of proof applied by OSCAR Case Managers and Process Review Appeal Panels is that of the balance of probability; that it is more likely than not that something was or was not the case.

Confidentiality and General Data Protection Regulations

14. The University deals with academic appeals in confidence, to the extent that this is compatible with making enquiries and holding meetings to consider the matter. The University collects and processes a variety of personal data in order to fulfil relevant student Regulations (see the [Regulations web page](#) for a list of all Student Regulations). This personal data may be provided by the student or collected from other departments within the University or taken from publicly available sources such as social media. The University processes personal data for this purpose in its legitimate interests. Some Regulations will require the sharing of sensitive personal data (defined as "special category" data by data protection legislation). The University processes and shares special category data in the substantial public interest and only

where it is necessary to enable the University to fulfil its duties of care to the student, other students, or to safeguard third parties. More detail on the types of data collected and how it is used to meet this need can be found in the *Student Regulations Privacy Notice*, available at the above link.

Grounds for making an academic appeal

15. Academic appeals may be requested by a student with respect to the following decisions:
 - academic progression decision
 - agreed credits or marks, or degree classification or degree outcome in the case of a doctoral degree
 - penalty for failing to make progress including programme termination
 - outcome of an application for the recognition of extenuating circumstances
16. When making an academic appeal in respect of the circumstances listed in regulation 15 above the student is required to show that they have evidence to demonstrate that one or more of the following grounds apply:
 - that staff or bodies have failed to follow regulations and/or procedures or have failed to follow them with due care
 - that staff or bodies have shown bias or prejudice towards the student in the way they have made the relevant academic decision
 - that the student's performance was affected by extenuating circumstances that they could not report at the time for valid reasons
 - that the student's performance was affected by extenuating circumstances that were reported at the time and relevant new evidence has since become available which has not been taken into account in making the relevant academic decision

Circumstances in which the University will not consider an academic appeal

17. An appeal against a decision where only the opinion of academic expert(s) will suffice is deemed to be a challenge to academic judgement and will not be considered. This includes, but is not limited to, academic judgements on the following:
 - the content or learning outcomes associated with programmes, modules and courses that have been approved by the University
 - captured content and live teaching events
 - the merits of work submitted by the student for assessment
 - the research methodology followed by a student
18. The University will not consider an academic appeal where it can be shown, at any stage that the academic decision against which the appeal is directed has yet to be made or, if made, has yet to be confirmed. Nor will an appeal be considered where the substance of the appeal can be shown to relate to a matter that has already been the subject of an academic appeal by that student that is in progress or has been decided.
19. The University will not normally review an academic appeal about something which has already been, or is currently, the subject of legal proceedings in a court or tribunal unless those proceedings have been put on hold.
20. If at any stage of an academic appeal the evidence put forward to support the appeal can be shown to have been dishonestly acquired or is itself dishonest and/or can be

shown to be an unreasonable demand or an unreasonable persistence (as detailed in the [Procedure for managing behaviour in respect to Student Regulations and Procedures](#)) the appeal will be closed and the evidence submitted to the University's disciplinary procedures as specified in the [Student disciplinary regulations](#). The same approach will be taken if it can be shown that the student has tried to mislead the University about their case.

Differentiating between an academic appeal and a complaint

21. The University's definition of an academic appeal is set out in regulation 11 above. The University's [Procedure for complaints](#) defines a complaint as:
'An expression of dissatisfaction against the University, either in part or as a whole, where a student is seeking a certain outcome or remedy.'
22. Under these *Regulations for academic appeals*, students may not make an academic appeal about the nature of the learning opportunities they have received (for example tuition, library provision, teaching and learning spaces provided, equipment) or the delivery of a service (for example accommodation, student support services). These concerns are addressed through the [Procedure for complaints](#).
23. Where an academic appeal relies on the student's view that there were earlier deficiencies in the learning opportunities the University made available to them, the University will ordinarily expect the student to have raised their concerns regarding the perceived deficiencies at the time they occurred. If a student is unable to provide evidence of having raised their concerns earlier, or has no evidence to reasonably explain why they did not raise their concerns earlier, the academic appeal is unlikely to be successful. Where an academic appeal is submitted while the associated complaint is ongoing, the complaint will normally be completed before the appeal is processed.

Status of students during an appeal

24. The decision against which a student is appealing remains in force until such time as the appeal is completed. When a student appeals against the suspension or termination of their registration, the University allows the student access to their University email account for the duration of the appeal.

Stage one: review by OSCAR

25. A student wishing to appeal against an academic decision as defined in regulation 15 above, is required to do so within 10 University working days of being notified of the decision. Appeals should be submitted to OSCAR on-line and in accordance with published requirements as to the format, content and length of submission. Further details are available on the OSCAR [web pages](#). If the appeal is received on time it will be assigned to an OSCAR Case Manager. If a student is unable to provide all supporting evidence by the appeal submission deadline (for example, if they are awaiting receipt of a medical letter), then they are still required to submit their appeal within the 10 University working days timeframe (including a complete supporting statement and any available evidence) but may request an extension in respect of the submission of any pending evidence. Such requests should explain the reason the extension is required and be made by [email](#) to OSCAR at the time of the appeal submission.
26. If an appeal is received after the 10 University working days deadline the student will be asked to provide reasons and accompanying evidence as to why the appeal is late. The evidence will be considered by an OSCAR Case Manager who will determine whether the reasons are valid. Consultation may take place with other members of staff in complex or unusual cases, and this will be recorded. A decision on the validity of the reasons will normally be made within 20 University working days of receiving the

final submission of information from the student. If the reasons are not deemed valid the appeal will not be considered and the student will be offered a Completion of Procedures letter.¹ If there are valid reasons the appeal will be accepted and assigned to an OSCAR Case Manager.

27. In the event that the student is known to all OSCAR staff such that there would be a conflict of interest in them dealing with the case and any subsequent appeal (see regulations 34 - 36 below), the case would be assigned to another member of staff within the Student and Academic Administration team.

Appeal dossier

28. Once assigned an appeal, the OSCAR Case Manager compiles information from relevant parties including the Faculty, Department or School to create the dossier which contains the evidence base for the appeal. This is normally done within 20 University working days of receiving the final appeal submission from the student. The dossier contains the appeal lodged by the student, the supporting evidence for their appeal, the information provided by the person or body that made the decision that is the focus of the appeal, and any other relevant information gathered by OSCAR. In cases where, upon initial review of an academic appeal dossier by the OSCAR Case Manager, an appellant appears to have not submitted any or enough sufficiently compelling evidence, the OSCAR Case Manager advises the student to provide further evidence in order to prevent their appeal being closed. The student may choose to provide additional evidence or to request a review based on initially submitted information.

Timeliness

29. Students have a right to have their appeal dealt with fairly and in a timely manner. Where a Faculty, Department / School Office, like body or Board of Examiners, is unable to respond to a request from OSCAR for information on an academic appeal within 10 University working days of receiving the request the responsible staff are required to inform OSCAR why they are unable to comply with its request for a prompt response, so that OSCAR can monitor the situation and keep the student informed.
30. Where OSCAR has requested the student to provide additional information and the student fails to do so within 10 University working days of the request being sent to the student's University email address, the student will be sent a further reminder and warned that their appeal will be closed if a response is not received within a further calendar month.

Outcomes of the review

31. When the OSCAR Case Manager has compiled the dossier, they review the evidence and come to one of two decisions. Consultation may take place with other members of staff in complex or unusual cases, and this will be recorded. The decision is either:
- that the appeal should be upheld in full or partially; or
 - that the appeal should be dismissed on one or more of the following grounds:
 - that the grounds cited for the appeal are not consistent with the University's criteria for academic appeals

¹ A Completion of Procedures letter is a formal written statement issued by the University to a student to confirm that the student has exhausted the University's internal procedures. A Completion of Procedures statement is required before a student can refer a matter to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator.

- that no evidence, or no relevant evidence, has been submitted to support the appeal
 - that the academic appeal is based on evidence that relates to extenuating circumstances that could have been reported to the University at the time they occurred, but were not, and the student is unable to provide a valid reason for not having provided the evidence at the time
 - that the appeal falls into the categories set out in regulations 17- 20 above
32. If the appeal is upheld in full or in part, the responsible OSCAR Case Manager will direct the body or person that took the original decision to amend it in the light of the evidence provided and within 10 University working days of notification. If the decision to be amended is that of a Board of Examiners relating to an award, the amended decision will be reported to the Senate Progression and Conferment Executive (SPACE). Depending on the nature of the decision to be amended, SPACE may take action directly. Decisions relating to postgraduate research students will be referred to the relevant Committee. In the interests of fairness to the student, to expedite matters it may be necessary to take Chair's action.
33. The responsible OSCAR Case Manager informs the student of the outcome within five University working days of the decision being made. The student is informed of their right to request a review of the decision to dismiss their appeal.

Request for a review of the decision to dismiss an appeal

34. Where an academic appeal is dismissed by the OSCAR Case Manager, the student submitting the appeal may submit a request for a review of this decision by a Process Review Appeal Panel (PRAP) within 10 University working days of being notified of it. Requests should be submitted to OSCAR on-line and in accordance with published requirements as to the format, content and length of submission. Further details are available on the OSCAR [web pages](#). If a student is unable to provide all supporting evidence by the submission deadline (for example, if they are awaiting receipt of a medical letter), then they are still required to submit their request within the 10 University working days timeframe (including a complete supporting statement and any available evidence) but may request an extension in respect of the submission of any pending evidence. Such requests should explain the reason the extension is required and be made by [email](#) to OSCAR at the time of the appeal submission.
35. If the appeal is received on time it will be assigned to an OSCAR Case Manager who has not been involved in Stage one of the appeal.
36. If a request for a review is received after the 10 University working days deadline, the student will be asked to provide reasons and accompanying independent supporting evidence as to why the request is late. The evidence will be considered by an OSCAR Case Manager who has not been involved in Stage one of the appeal, who will determine whether the reasons are valid.² A decision on the validity of the reasons will normally be made within 20 University working days of receiving the submission of information from the student. Consultation may take place with other members of staff in complex or unusual cases, and this will be recorded. If the reasons are not deemed valid the request will not be considered and the student will be offered a Completion of Procedures letter. If there are valid reasons the request will be accepted and assigned to an OSCAR Case Manager who has not been involved in Stage one of the appeal.

² In the event that there are no OSCAR Case Managers available who have not previously been involved, other staff in the Student and Academic Administration team will be utilised to make the decision.

Grounds for requesting a review of the decision to dismiss an appeal

37. When requesting a review of the dismissal of their appeal the student is required to show that they have evidence to demonstrate that one or more of the following grounds apply:
- that in making its decision the OSCAR Case Manager(s) failed to follow the University's regulations and/or procedures or failed to follow them with due care
 - that the OSCAR Case Manager(s) have shown bias or prejudice towards the student in the way that the decision was taken
 - that relevant new evidence has become available that should be considered and there are valid reasons why it was not presented at the time of the appeal. The Process Review Appeal Panel will decide whether there are valid reasons why the evidence was not submitted earlier and, if so, will consider this evidence alongside the review of the dismissal of the appeal
 - that the decision of the OSCAR Case Manager(s) was unreasonable and/or the outcome was not proportionate in all of the circumstances

Stage two: Process Review Appeal Panels

38. Stage two of the University's academic appeal procedure consists of a formal review of the student's appeal by a Process Review Appeal Panel.
39. Process Review Appeal Panels are convened by OSCAR and conduct their business in accordance with the [Procedure for hearings by Panels](#) which detail how Panels work, including, where relevant, the right of a student to attend a hearing and to be accompanied. It is expected that those asked to attend a hearing will acquaint themselves with the *Regulations*.
40. The Panel hearing will normally be held within 10 University working days of receipt of the final submission of information from the student submitting an appeal against the outcome of Stage one. Where it is going to take longer than this, the student will be kept updated as to progress and likely timescales.

Membership of a Process Review Appeal Panel

41. Where new evidence is raised, further investigations may need to be carried out which may require the appeal to be delayed pending the outcome of these investigations and to give the student an opportunity to consider any new information obtained.
42. The membership of a Process Review Appeal Panel comprises three members from the pool of trained panel members as follows:
- a member of staff from the pool of trained Chair persons
 - a member of University staff
 - a sabbatical officer or a student member nominated by the Surrey Students' Union

The OSCAR Case Manager is in attendance as Secretary to the Panel.

43. Members of a Process Review Appeal Panel should have no current academic or personal connection with the student (or students) considered by the Panel.

Attendance of the student at a Process Review Appeal Panel

44. The student will not be in attendance at the meeting, although the Process Review Appeal Panel has the option to invite the student to attend a Panel meeting if they feel that they need additional information from the student in person.

Findings and outcomes of a Process Review Appeal Panel

45. A Process Review Appeal Panel may come to one of three findings:
- (i) that all or part of the student's grounds for a review of the dismissal of their appeal should be upheld and the body or person that took the original decision directed to amend it in the light of the evidence provided to the Process Review Appeal Panel and its findings;
 - (ii) that all or part of the student's grounds for a review of the dismissal of their appeal should be upheld and the Stage one OSCAR Case Manager(s) directed to reconsider their decision in the light of the evidence provided to the Process Review Appeal Panel and its findings;
 - (iii) that the grounds for a review of the dismissal of a student's appeal should be dismissed and the original decision of the Stage one OSCAR Case Manager(s) upheld.
46. Following the meeting of the Process Review Appeal Panel, the Secretary conveys the findings of the Panel to the student and the body or person that took the original decision in writing within five University working days. The Secretary's letter also states that it constitutes a completion of the University's procedures and that the student can request a review of the University's decision by the [Office of the Independent Adjudicator](#).

Withdrawal of an appeal

47. Once an academic appeal has been concluded, either after Stage one or Stage two, the student cannot subsequently withdraw the request.

Follow up to the findings and outcomes of Stage one and Stage two appeals

48. In cases where an appeal or a request to review a decision to dismiss an appeal have been partly or fully upheld and an academic decision made by a body or person has been directed to be amended in the light of the evidence provided, OSCAR contacts the relevant body or person 10 University working days after the findings were communicated to them to enquire what action has been taken to respond to the direction and/or findings. Where OSCAR does not receive a satisfactory response to its enquiry within a reasonable period (usually, 10 University working days) the matter is referred to the Chair of SPACE or the Chair of the relevant committee in the case of postgraduate research degrees.
49. Where, having looked into the matter, the Chair of SPACE or the Chair of the relevant postgraduate committee considers it necessary in the interests of fairness to the student to take action, they may convene a special meeting of SPACE or the relevant postgraduate committee which, having taken the advice of the relevant external examiners or assessors, if appropriate, may nullify the original academic decision of the body or person who took the decision and substitute its own decision which it reports to Senate.
50. In cases where an appeal or a request to review a decision to dismiss an appeal have been partially or fully upheld on the grounds of extenuating circumstances, the Head of OSCAR or the Chair of a Process Review Appeal Panel as Authorised Persons under the [Regulations for support to study](#), may request in writing that the Chief Student Officer initiates the support for study procedure.