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1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The University values its reputation for ethical behaviour and financial probity and seeks to conduct its affairs in a manner which limits the risk of exposure to bribery and fraud. As excessive gifts or hospitality may constitute bribery, University staff or associated persons shall not give or receive gifts or hospitality otherwise than in accordance with this Policy and the Donations Policy.

Staff shall never use their office or employment for personal gain and must at all times act in good faith with regard to the University's interests. It is therefore committed to preventing bribery and fraud by its staff and any third party acting for or on behalf of the University.

1.2 Scope

This policy is binding on all staff, including but not limited to Members of Council and its Committees and students. Refusal to observe it will be grounds for disciplinary action in accordance with the University’s Disciplinary Procedures.

It should be noted that this policy does not cover Ethical Conduct in Research. This is covered in the Code of Practice on Handling Allegations of Misconduct.

1.3 Definitions

Words in bold are defined at Annex 1.

1.4 Regulatory and Legislative Context

This document is intended to assist the University in:

a) complying with the Bribery Act 2010;
b) complying with the Fraud Act 2006;
c) meeting its obligations to HEFCE under the Memorandum of Assurance and Accountability; and
d) demonstrating compliance with the good practice set out in the CUC Guide for Audit Committee members (2008) and Governing Bodies (2009).

e)

1.5 Clarification

Genuine hospitality or similar business expenditure that is reasonable and proportionate will not be caught by the above legislation.

2 Policy

2.1 Principles

The University has no tolerance of bribery or fraud within its operations and University employees and associated persons must not engage in any form of bribery or fraud with regard to activity carried out on behalf of the University. The University will take appropriate action to prevent bribery and all forms of fraud in the University. No University employee or associated person shall seek a financial or other advantage for the University through bribery. No University employee or associated person shall offer, promise, give, request, agree to receive or accept a bribe for any purpose.
The payment or acceptance of facilitation payments by University employees and associated persons is unacceptable. The only exceptions are where the employee or associated person is in a vulnerable position. In such cases, the payment should be reported in advance or as soon as is reasonably practicable in accordance with the procedures in Section 2.2. (The Reporting / Investigation Procedures).

The University has established systems and procedures which are designed to minimise the incidence of fraud, limit its impact and ensure its prompt detection. These systems and processes are embodied in the Financial Regulations.

University employees who suspect that bribery or fraud have occurred are required to report such instances internally, through the channels described in Section 2.2. The University will investigate, report and record in accordance with these procedures. Managers should familiarise themselves with the types of fraud and dishonesty that might occur within their areas. Managers should be aware that certain patterns of behaviour may indicate a desire for concealment, for example:

- Taking few holidays
- Resistance to delegation
- Resentment to normal discussions of work issues
- Frequently working alone late or at weekends

Managers should consider the risk of fraud/bribery when these patterns of behaviour are apparent in their staff.

Staff should not accept any gifts or hospitality which would put them in a position where they might be, or might be deemed by others to have been, influenced in making a business decision as a consequence of accepting the gift or hospitality. Any gifts or hospitality the University and its staff offers or receives will always be reasonable, appropriate and proper.

Staff are responsible for disclosing any personal, financial or beneficial interest which may lead to a Conflict of Interest.

Bribery and fraud by University employees will be treated as a serious disciplinary offence resulting potentially in dismissal and/or legal action.

2.2 Reporting and Investigation Procedures

2.2.1 Corporate hospitality

Where corporate hospitality involves representatives from a number of other client companies and is directed at other guests, broadly at the level of the University employee concerned, the potential Conflict of Interest is not considered high. This is always subject to the level of corporate hospitality being appropriate for the event, e.g. hospitality should not include the company providing accommodation or significant travel provisions free of charge.

a) All corporate hospitality should be pre-authorised in writing by the employee’s line manager using the online Record of offer of hospitality form or a hard copy attached at Annex 2. If the hard copy is completed, the approved form should be submitted to the Secretariat via email to secretariat@surrey.ac.uk (with a copy to the employee).

b) Corporate hospitality should never be accepted from an organisation (or its parent company) which is in receipt of or has recently submitted tender documents to the University.

c) Discretion should always be exercised when accepting corporate hospitality.
as the company (including other divisions or the parent company thereof) may be tendering to another part of the University.

2.2.2 Individual Hospitality

a) Individual hospitality is acceptable if it simply reflects the facilitation of business in hand, e.g. a business meal when University staff are visiting a company. In such cases, no pre-authorisation or declaration is required.

b) Individual hospitality on a larger scale should not be accepted, as it could represent an attempt by the company to secure favourable treatment by the University.

2.2.3 Acceptance of Gifts

a) No member of staff in the course of his or her employment may accept any gift of money or vouchers, such as book tokens.

b) Reasonable small tokens (e.g. inexpensive promotional items or seasonal gifts), from an organisation or individual (including students) may be accepted provided they do not give rise to the risk of influencing the business or academic judgement of the recipient of the gift or place the recipient under any obligation. If there is any doubt, the gift must be refused. Such small tokens are defined as being less than £50 in value for an individual item or below £100 cumulative value per annum. There is no requirement to declare gifts below these thresholds or where these are philanthropic gifts (and the policy for accepting philanthropic gifts has to be followed as applicable) if not connected to commercial activity.

c) Any gifts received above these values should be returned to the donor concerned. Where, exceptionally, it is considered that this might cause offence, the matter should be referred to the University Secretary and Legal Counsel (USLC) at the earliest opportunity. The USLC, liaising where appropriate with other members of the Senior Management Team, will determine what further action should be taken, for example whether the gift can be accepted as University property.

d) Any gifts above the thresholds in (b) should be reported to the Secretariat using the online Record of Gifts form or a hard copy in Annex 3, even where these are returned. If the hard copy is completed, the approved form should be submitted to the Secretariat via email to secretariat@surrey.ac.uk. The USLC will keep a record of such gifts to identify trends that may give rise to concerns.

e) Reciprocation: Should staff be offered hospitality or gifts, it should be borne in mind that it is standard practice to reciprocate. Therefore, any hospitality or gifts that cannot be reciprocated should not be accepted.

2.2.4 Making Gifts – Students

Students are advised that, should they wish to make a personal gift to a member of staff, they should do so after their programme of study has been completed and the results have been announced. Students should be aware of the relevant guidelines to staff on the acceptance of gifts as set out at section 2.2.3 above.

2.2.5 Commercial Interests

a) All staff must avoid personal situations which may lead to a Conflict of Interest. This may specifically relate to an interest, involving the employee or
close relative, or partner, outside the University which could make it advantageous for the employee to place any such personal considerations above their obligation to the University. Such potential conflicts should be reported to the employee’s line manager as soon as they are identified.

b) University staff should record all interests and **Equity Interests** they hold in companies or other trading organisations that the University or its related companies do business with or are likely to do business with using the online **Declaration of Interest** form found at Annex 4. If the hard copy is completed, the approved form should be submitted to the Secretariat via email to secretariat@surrey.ac.uk.

c) The USLC will keep a register of relevant interests and, in conjunction with the employee’s line manager and other senior staff, ensure that any appropriate additional measures are put in place. This might, include, for example, additional measures with regard to the procurement process.

d) Former students or employees may be potential suppliers. It is important that they do not receive or expect to receive special consideration. If their ‘inside knowledge’ for instance, appears to give them an unfair competitive advantage, it may be desirable to take additional steps to ensure fair competition among all suppliers.

### 2.2.6 Conflict of Interests – Personal Conflicts

University staff should avoid dealing with the University’s suppliers in their private affairs if there is potential for this to put them under some obligation to the supplier.

Where such arrangements are unavoidable, it is essential that staff are not offered a deal which is not commonly available, and which could be construed as a reward for actions taken in the course of their employment.

### 2.2.7 Bribery and Fraud

**Duty to Report Suspicions:**

All officers, members of staff and students are required to notify immediately the USLC (s.litchfield@surrey.ac.uk) of any suspicion of **bribery** or **fraud**. Such notifications should be made in writing (in strict confidence) and should include a brief description of the alleged irregularity, the estimated scale or scope for loss, any evidence supporting the alleged irregularity, and details of the suspected perpetrator (the respondent).

Any report made to the USLC under the above procedures will be treated as a disclosure under the University’s **Public Interest Disclosure Policy**.

_Ethical Conduct Investigating Procedures will be undertaken in accordance with Annex 5 and 6._

### 3 Governance

Overall responsibility for the implementation of this Policy lies with Executive Board. The commitment to preventing **bribery** and **fraud** shall be clearly communicated to staff and **associated persons** by Executive Board or by its nominees.

The nature and extent of risk relating to **bribery** and **fraud** shall be regularly assessed by way of a risk assessment and appropriate procedures to prevent **bribery** and **fraud**, including proportionate preventative and detective internal controls and effective reporting procedures shall be implemented to reflect the outcomes of the risk
Where risk assessments indicate a significant risk of bribery and/or fraud, due diligence shall be conducted prior to proceeding with the relevant transaction. Specific training for those deemed most likely to encounter bribery and fraud will be given.

Council, through Audit and Assurance Committee has oversight to ensure the University has a satisfactory policy on fraud and irregularity in place, including being notified of any significant action taken under that policy and to be aware of patterns and trends as they develop.

4 Responsibilities of the USLC

The USLC has responsibility for implementing the Policy, monitoring compliance and ensuring that it is regularly reviewed. As such her responsibilities will be:

a) to ensure that reported incidents of bribery and fraud are recorded in the Corruption Report log and that incidents are investigated and reported in accordance with Section 2.2 above;

b) to compile a report for each Audit & Assurance Committee meeting on the implementation of this Policy and the outcomes of any risk assessment, due diligence and any incidents of reported bribery and fraud and to recommend any changes to this Policy which may become appropriate;

c) to ensure that University documents and procedures (including those relating to procurement, fundraising and terms and conditions of employment) reflect this Policy;

d) to co-ordinate the University’s response to any investigation or charge under anti-bribery or fraud legislation;

e) to ensure communication of the University’s zero tolerance on fraud and bribery to all staff or associated persons and to deliver training to them where appropriate;

f) to oversee the Ethical Conduct bribery and fraud risk assessment and the conduct of due diligence into significant transactions;

g) to maintain and monitor a register of Gifts and Hospitality;

h) to maintain and monitor a register of Employees’ Commercial Interests;

i) to review HEFCE and NAFN Fraud Updates on a regular basis;

ej) to monitor the effectiveness and implementation of this Policy.

k) the USLC has delegated some of the above responsibilities to the Ethical Conduct Officer

5 Implementation, communication and training

The University supports the concept of anti-bribery awareness training for employees to ensure that their responsibilities and duties in respect of anti-bribery are current and their understanding reinforced.

All external members of Council and Audit and Assurance Committee undertake
regular and relevant bribery training. Annually the University reviews its Risk Assessment which it presents to both Committees.

The University will seek to ensure that its bribery prevention policies and procedures are embedded and understood throughout the organisation through internal communication and training to staff and employees and external communication to third parties acting on the University’s behalf.

Staff are also required to undertake the Bribery Act 2010 and Fraud E-learning module on the Staff Development site. Training records are maintained on the employees’ HR Self Service records.
Annex 1
Definitions

Associated person
A person, company or other legal entity that performs services for or on behalf of the University and which may include for example, agents, subsidiaries and subcontractors, recipients of grants, joint ventures or collaborative working arrangements of any kind and supplies of fundraising, professional or other services to the University.

Bribery
a) offering, promising, giving, requesting or accepting a financial or other advantage in circumstances occurring inside or outside the UK which are intended to induce or reward improper performance of a function or activity that:
   • is of a public nature, performed in the course of a person’s employment, connected with a business or trade, or performed on behalf of a body of people; and
   • a reasonable person in the UK would expect to be performed in good faith, impartially or in accordance with a position of trust.
b) offering, promising or giving a financial or other advantage to a public official outside the UK (or somebody else nominated by that official) intending to influence the official in the performance of their official functions in order to obtain or retain business or a business advantage.

Facilitation payments can fall within a) and b) above

Conflict of interest
may arise where an individual’s personal or family interests and/or loyalties conflict with those of the University.

Corporate hospitality
an entertainment event given by an organisation and aimed at its clients in general. Such events are aimed at PR and gaining introductions to relevant client staff.

Individual hospitality which is entertainment directed principally at one or more persons from the University.

Equity interests
An investment by way of shares or other securities of more than 5% of the total issued share capital of any company (whether or not it is listed or dealt in on a recognised stock exchange).

Facilitation payments
Payments intended to secure or expedite routine or necessary government action by a public official. A facilitation payment includes a payment to a public official to do
their job properly as well as payment to do their job improperly.

**Fraud**

An act or omission made with the intent of making a financial gain or causing a financial loss, or exposing another to the risk of a financial loss, in which a person:

- dishonestly makes a false representation; or
- dishonestly fails to disclose information which he or she is under a legal duty to disclose; or
- occupies a position in which he or she is expected to safeguard or not act against the interests of another person; and
  - dishonestly abuses that position; and
  - intends, by means of that abuse of that position, to make a gain for him or herself or another, or to cause loss to another or to expose another to the risk of loss.

**Gift**

- a gift or loan of money, goods or services or combination of these
- the receipt of beneficial terms that are not generally available regarding the procurement of goods or services

**Improper**

a) A failure to perform a function or activity in good faith, impartially or in accordance with a position of trust; or

(b) not performing the function at all.

In deciding whether a function or activity has been performed improperly outside the UK, any local custom or practice must be disregarded unless it is permitted or required by the written law of the country in which it is performed.

**Public official**

Someone who holds a legislative, administrative or judicial position of any kind, whether appointed or elected; someone who exercises a public function for any country or territory (or any subdivision or such a country or territory); or an official of or agent or a public international organisation.
Annex 2
Record of Offer of Hospitality

PART A – For completion by Employee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Offer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Employee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty / Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Hospitality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Value of Hospitality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Donor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address of Donor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship of Donor to the University</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PART B – For Completion by Line Manager

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approved / Not Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments (if relevant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line Manager (Name)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form should be completed whenever an employee is offered any form of corporate hospitality (see Section 2.2.1 of this Policy). Employees do not need to declare offers of individual hospitality which simply reflects facilitation of business in hand e.g. a business meal (see Section 2.2.2 of this Policy).

The employee should complete Part A and his/her line manager should complete Part B, with a copy to the employee.
This form can be submitted electronically and sent via email to secretariat@surrey.ac.uk or you can complete the online Record of offer of hospitality form.

Annex 3
Record of Gifts

PART A – For completion by Employee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Offer</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty / Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of Gift and Estimate of Value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Donor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address of Donor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship of Donor to the University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whether Gift was Returned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason Given for the Return</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form should be completed whenever an employee is offered any form of gift other than a small token inexpensive promotional item or seasonal gift (see Section 2.2.3 of this Policy).

This form can be submitted electronically and sent via email to secretariat@surrey.ac.uk or you can complete the online Record of Gifts form.
Annex 4
Declaration of Interests

The University requires information about activities that may represent a conflict of interest. The University does not require information about private activities which:
- do not affect the impartial discharge of his/her University and Faculty or Administrative/Service Department duties
- do not involve a potential conflict of interest

If in doubt, you are advised, for your own protection, to disclose.

Any personal information provided on this form will be processed in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. Except to the extent that information is required for statutory reporting purposes or is subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, all information will be treated in the strictest of confidence.

1. Do you occupy a position as a Director or Partner?
   Yes □ No □

Staff who hold board appointments in University Companies (one in which the University holds 50% or more of the voting shares and therefore has a majority controlling interest) need not declare this interest. Staff who hold any other board appointment must declare that interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of Interest [Executive Director/Non-Executive Director/Partner]</th>
<th>Name &amp; Address of Organisation</th>
<th>Date of Appointment/Contract</th>
<th>Does the Organisation have other dealings with the University YES/NO/DON'T KNOW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Equity Interests in companies that have dealings with the University or its subsidiaries
   
   Yes ☐  No ☐

   (Equity interests are interests of more than 5% of the issued share capital of any company)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Security (Ordinary Shares/Options)</th>
<th>Number Acquired</th>
<th>% of Share Capital</th>
<th>Price Paid/Exercise Price/Share</th>
<th>Market Value/Share</th>
<th>Date Acquired</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Do you or persons connected with you (spouse or partner, children or close relatives) have any other interests that you should report, e.g. a significant financial interest in or a contract with any company or organisation trading with the University

   Yes ☐  No ☐

Signed: ........................................................................................................

Name: .......

Faculty (and Department) or Administrative/Service Department: ..................

Date: ........

Please complete and return this form to the University Secretary and Legal Counsel, can be submitted electronically and sent via email to secretariat@surrey.ac.uk or you can complete the online Declaration of Interest form.
Annex 5
Ethical Conduct Investigating Group - Terms of Reference

1 Introduction
1.1 The University Secretary and Legal Counsel (USLC) has established an Ethical Conduct Investigating Group (Investigating Group) to investigate bribery and fraud.

2 Membership
2.1 Members of the Investigating Group comprise the USLC, Ethical Conduct Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Head of Security and Chief Internal Auditor. Other people will be invited to attend as required.

3 Meetings
3.1 A meeting can be called at any time where the USLC and/or the Ethical Conduct Officer has determined that there is a case concerning Ethical Conduct that should be considered by the Investigation Group.

4 Responsibilities
4.1 In accordance with the Procedures at Annex 6, the Investigating Group will:

- Put in place arrangements to investigate concerns;
- Put in place arrangements to minimise further loss;
- Put in place arrangements for recovering losses;
- Comply with any requirements of the University’s insurance cover;
- Establish and secure evidence necessary for criminal and disciplinary action;
- Ensure that appropriate action is taken against those responsible and;
- Communicate with internal personnel and outside organisations with a ‘need to know’, in particular considering:
  - Whether there are issues that should be referred to the appropriate funding body under the terms of any grant to which the allegations relate;
  - Whether the incident should be reported to HEFCE under the terms of the Memorandum of assurance and accountability;
  - Whether the matter should be reported to HMRC;
  - Whether the matter should be reported to the police;

and will take steps to ensure that the relevant actions are undertaken as soon as is reasonably practicable.

4.2 The actions of the Investigating Group will be recorded in a confidential log, to be kept and maintained by the Ethical Conduct Officer.

4.3 The Ethical Conduct Officer shall maintain a register of all the allegations of bribery or fraud which are reported within the University including those where there was found to be no case to answer under the Ethical Conduct Policy.

4.4 Without prejudice to any other action that may be deemed to be necessary (for example where the matter is referred to the police), the Investigating Group will ensure that any allegation concerning the conduct of a member of staff is dealt with in accordance with the University’s HR policies and procedures.
4.5 In cases which involve or may involve students, the Director of Student Services and Administration will be informed at the outset of the investigation. Without prejudice to any other action that may be deemed to be necessary (for example where the matter is referred to the police), if a student is the subject of an allegation of bribery or fraud, this will be dealt with by the Director of Student Services and Administration /OSCAR under the relevant student policy processes.

4.6 In any case where a member of the Investigating Group is the subject of an investigation under the Policy, the Chief Operating Officer and President and Vice-Chancellor will be informed and will establish leadership of the Ethical Conduct Investigating Group.

4.7 Preliminary investigations will normally be carried out by the Ethical Conduct Officer and/or the Chief Internal Auditor. Where preliminary investigations reveal reasonable grounds for suspecting that there is a case to answer *, the Investigating Group will appoint an investigator to carry out further investigations as necessary.

4.8 The investigator will keep the Investigating Group informed as to the progress of the investigation and will complete the investigation as soon as reasonably possible.

4.9 All persons involved with the investigation must treat the information in strict confidence. Where necessary, information will be transmitted in confidence to relevant regulatory bodies.

4.10 In the case of insured claims, the Investigating Group will ensure that any requirements of the University’s insurance cover are observed.

4.11 On the completion of the internal investigation, the Investigating Group will decide what further actions are necessary including whether the matter has to be referred to external agencies such as the police or HEFCE.

* No case to answer means that it has been determined to the reasonable satisfaction of the USLC or Investigating Group, as the case may be, that the alleged breach of the Ethical Conduct Policy was of minimal effect and occurred as a consequence of mistake or incompetence. A case to answer means that it has been determined to the reasonable satisfaction of the USLC or Investigating Group, as the case may be, that the alleged breach of the Ethical Conduct Policy is not of minimal effect and did not arise as a consequence of mistake or incompetence.

5 Reporting responsibilities

5.1 A report of all matters referred to the Investigating Group will be provided at each Audit & Assurance Committee in the most appropriate format.

6 Review of Terms of Reference

6.1 The Investigating Group shall review and reassess the Terms of Reference as it deems appropriate.
Investigating Group Flow Chart October 2016

Preliminary Investigations

1. **Suspicion of Fraud or Bribery reported to the USLC**
   - USLC acknowledges notification and requests that the Ethical Conduct Officer makes preliminary investigations

2. Ethical Conduct Officer liaises with Chief Internal Auditor and Chief Finance Office as part of the preliminary investigation

3. **Outcome of preliminary investigation to be reported back to the USLC within 5 working days**

4. **Does allegation fall outside University Disciplinary Policy/Student Regulations?**
   - Yes: Ethical Conduct Officer opens new Corruption Log
     - The Ethical Conduct Officer will recommend to the USLC that the allegation will be dealt with according to HR or Registry Policy
   - No: The USLC may recommend that relevant policies, practices and procedures are reviewed but **no further action taken** under the Ethical Conduct Policy

5. Irregularity is of minimal effect, has arisen by accident, mistake or incompetence rather than intention.
   - Ethical Conduct Officer reports conclusion of preliminary investigations and any action taken to the USLC
   - If the USLC agrees with conclusion, the initiator of the reported incident will be informed accordingly. The Investigating Group will also be updated.
   - No further action taken under Ethical Conduct. Ethical Conduct Log is updated and closed.

6. **Is further action required?**
   - Yes: Ethical Conduct Officer liaises with Chief Internal Auditor and Chief Finance Office as part of the preliminary investigation
     - Irregularity is of minimal effect, has arisen by accident, mistake or incompetence rather than intention.
     - Ethical Conduct Officer reports conclusion of preliminary investigations and any action taken to the USLC
   - The USLC briefs and consults with the Vice-Chancellor and Chair of Audit Committee where it is likely HEFCE will need to be notified
   - Insurance Officer, Vice President marketing & Communications and Director of Student Services notified as necessary
   - Police/SFO notified as necessary
   - HR Action notified as necessary
   - Consider Leaders Alert and BUFDG anonymised publication

7. **Ethical Conduct Officer informs USLC that the Investigating Group will be convened.**
   - The Investigating Group, led by the USLC will determine what further investigative actions (if any) is necessary.
   - The Ethical Conduct Officer will ensure that the detail and actions taken in respect of any notified incident, whether reported to HEFCE or not, are recorded in the Ethical Conduct Log Report

8. **USLC briefs and consults with the Vice-Chancellor and Chair of Audit Committee where it is likely HEFCE will need to be notified**
   - Insurance Officer, Vice President marketing & Communications and Director of Student Services notified as necessary
   - Police/SFO notified as necessary
   - HR Action notified as necessary
   - Consider Leaders Alert and BUFDG anonymised publication